

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,401	07/26/2001	Jose Kolencheril Raphel	2006579-0455 (CTX-171)	4249	
69665 7590 08/17/2007 CHOATE, HALL & STEWART / CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC.			EXAMINER		
TWO INTERN	O INTERNATIONAL PLACE		STRANGE, AARON N		
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2153		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1	•
۷		þ
	7	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/912,401	RAPHEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Aaron Strange	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	ater than SIX MONTHS from the r	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
<u> </u>	but prior to the date of filing a	hrief will not be entered b	necause
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		Jecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or 		lly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		· •	` ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		rate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:] will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered busee attachment.	4	ion in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_ //	
13.	4	GLENTON B. B	URGESS
		SUPERVISORY PATER	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Application/Control Number: 09/912,401 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. With regard to claim 1, and Applicant's assertion that Allan fails to teach "monitoring responses to client requests to determine that the performance of the server throughput exceeds the predetermined threshold range" (Remarks, 3), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. From the mere fact that inline elements may be retrieved from additional servers, it does not follow that Allan "monitors times to receive elements from multiple servers", as suggested by Applicant (Remarks, 4). Allan monitors the total transaction time for a particular server, even though the transaction time may be affected by the time it takes to retrieve inline objects. Nothing in the present claims precludes the performance of the server from being partially dependent on the performance of other servers.

13

GL'ENTON'B. BØRGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100